The power of positive deviance

David R Marsh, Dirk G Schroeder, Kirk A Dearden, Jerry Sternin and Monique Sternin

BMJ 2004;329:1177-1179
doi:10.1136/bmj.329.7475.1177

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/329/7475/1177

These include:

Data supplement
"Further examples and references"
http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/329/7475/1177/DC1

References
This article cites 15 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:
http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/329/7475/1177#BIBL

Rapid responses
You can respond to this article at:
http://bmj.com/cgi/eletter-submit/329/7475/1177

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article

Topic collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

• Health education (including prevention and promotion) (567 articles)

Notes

To order reprints of this article go to:
http://bmj.bmjournals.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://www.bmjournals.com/subscriptions
The power of positive deviance

David R Marsh, Dirk G Schroeder, Kirk A Dearden, Jerry Sternin, Monique Sternin

Identifying individuals with better outcome than their peers (positive deviance) and enabling communities to adopt the behaviours that explain the improved outcome are powerful methods of producing change.

The most efficient way to improve health is to use locally available, sustainable, and effective approaches. In the 1970s policy developers tested the concept that public health interventions could be designed around uncommon, beneficial health behaviours that some community members already practised. This concept—known as positive deviance—was used successfully to improve the nutritional status of children in several settings in the 1990s. Recently, the approach has also been applied to newborn care, child nutrition, rates of contraception, safe sexual practices, and educational outcomes. In this article we describe how the approach works, the evidence that it is effective, and possible future applications.

How does positive deviance work?

Positive deviant behaviour (box) is an uncommon practice that confers advantage to the people who practise it compared with the rest of the community. Such behaviours are likely to be affordable, acceptable, and sustainable because they are already practised by at risk people, they do not conflict with local culture, and they work. For example, in Egypt, contrary to custom, parents of poor but well nourished children were found to feed their children a diet that included eggs, beans, and green vegetables. Child nutrition programmes that provided opportunities to parents of malnourished children to follow this and other new behaviours, such as hand washing and hygienic food preparation, improved child growth (figure).

The positive deviance approach involves partnering with communities to:
- Develop case definitions
- Identify four to six people who have achieved an unexpected good outcome despite high risk
- Interview and observe these people to discover uncommon behaviours or enabling factors that could explain the good outcome
- Analyse the findings to confirm that the behaviours are uncommon and accessible to those who need to adopt them
- Design behaviour change activities to encourage community adoption of the new behaviours
- Monitor implementation and evaluate the results.

This approach to behavioural and social change takes advantage of the community's existing assets or strengths. In contrast, most international health initiatives are prescriptive, top down, or donor driven and difficult to sustain without ongoing external resources.

The approach facilitates three important processes: social mobilisation, information gathering to craft interventions, and behaviour change.

- Social mobilisation—We have found that, even during the initial explanation of the positive deviance concept in communities, local villagers respond with excitement and enthusiasm to get started. Participants have said that they are motivated by learning that they are doing something right and that a successful solution to their problem already exists within their community, instead of receiving criticism for their inadequacies.

- Information gathering—In-depth inquiries, community norms studies, and community vetting are used to identify the transferable behaviours and enabling factors that are likely to account for the good outcome of the identified individual or family (see table A on bmj.com). Depending on the project aim, policy developers seek behaviours that explain good health status outcomes (for example, good weight for age) or enablers and other behaviours that explain good behavioural outcomes (such as protecting daughters from girl trafficking).

- Behaviour change—Some behaviours are so affordable and accessible (such as a clean blade to cut a newborn baby's cord) that enthusiasm for immediate adoption ripples through the community, even before the formal intervention starts. Other behaviours change more slowly through interventions that encourage new behaviours.

Experience and evidence from the field

Through a series of increasingly rigorous studies, Save the Children has evaluated the effectiveness of the...
positive deviance approach to reduce childhood malnutrition in Vietnam (table B on bmj.com). In the early 1990s, Sternin et al showed a 74% reduction in severe malnutrition among children younger than 3 years old who participated in positive deviance programmes. Mackintosh et al revisited some of these children in 1998; three years after the intervention stopped. They found that these children, and especially their younger siblings born after completion of the programme, had better nutritional status than age and sex matched children who did not live in intervention communities. Semistructured interviews with mothers strongly suggested that the superior nutritional status of the younger siblings was due to better care from a younger age—improved practices that their mothers had learnt during the programme.

The strongly positive and consistent results from these programmatic evaluations suggested the approach was successful, but their relatively weak study designs limited our ability to attribute causality to the interventions. We therefore conducted a large, randomised, prospective trial of the approach in 12 communes in northern Vietnam. Save the Children conducted monthly measurements on 240 malnourished children (120 in the intervention and non-intervention communes) for six months and then at 12 months. Compared with children in randomly selected non-intervention communes, the intervention children grew better, ate and breastfed more often, ate larger portions, consumed more energy, experienced less respiratory infection, and had mothers who were more likely to confidently share new knowledge about child care and feeding with their neighbours. Many effects, such as improved diet and decreased morbidity, occurred among all children, even those not sufficiently malnourished to attend the group learning activities. This finding is consistent with behaviour change through mothers sharing new behaviours with one another.

Use of the positive deviance approach outside nutrition and child survival is limited but growing. It has been used to promote condom use among commercial sex workers in Georgia and Indonesia, improve family planning methods in Guatemala, and commercial sex workers in Georgia and Indonesia, has been used to promote condom use among nutrition and child survival is limited but growing. It
to develop a “best practices” tool in Argentina, and in a university donor partnership to identify factors influencing sexual practices in West Africa. The Centre for Development and Population Activities used the positive deviance approach to advocate against female genital cutting in Egypt at the community level and nationally through television using the actual words of the positive deviance individuals, and the Government of Egypt will apply the approach in 60 villages. The US healthcare and business communities are beginning to recognise the power of positive deviance.

Advantages and disadvantages

The success of the approach rests on its ability to mobilise the community to identify role models within its midst who use uncommon, but demonstrably successful, strategies to tackle common problems. Use of this approach has wider benefits. Firstly, it serves equity, in that it is informed by the wisdom of disadvantaged “doers” of healthy behaviours and provides solutions accessible to those with similar socioeconomic constraints. Secondly, it introduces a generic approach for local problem solving. Indeed, intervention communities commonly launch parallel activities, such as cost sharing for local road construction or successfully demanding social services. Thirdly, positive deviance enhances local research capacity for controlling disease in relevant, affordable, sustainable ways. Lastly, and perhaps most importantly, the approach reveals at least partial solutions today to challenges rather than waiting for long term development. Clearly planners must address the complex underlying development challenges, but the approach permits some action now, which builds trust and enthusiasm for the long haul.

The approach does, however, have limitations. It requires discovering uncommon positive examples, typically at a prevalence of 1-10%. Rare examples are costly to identify, and common examples fail to stimulate new thinking. By extension, the approach is inappropriate for settings where positive behaviour is impossible due to non-availability of relevant services or foods. Scale-up requires many people with skills in community mobilisation, participatory research, and positive deviance, which may limit uptake. On the other hand, training materials, workshops, and technical assistance are increasingly available.

Some people have questioned the efficiency of the approach, given the presumed limited generalisability of findings from local inquiries and the desire to mobilise each community through self discovery. Practitioners now need to test the assumption that positive deviance is, of necessity, a small scale approach by evaluating the effectiveness of different intensities of inquiry (number per population size). Similarly we should test reformulated approaches, such as a mass media advocacy campaign featuring testimonials by individuals with positive deviant behaviour. Likewise, we should systematically describe and value the additional unintended benefits accrued by communities that have taken part in positive deviance programmes.

Summary points

Even in the poorest communities, a few individuals or families achieve good health

Positive deviance is a quick, low cost method to identify the strategies used by these people and encourage the rest of the community to adopt them

The approach has been used successfully, mainly to improve child health

The potential for the approach to help communities to gain better health or other social benefits is vast and largely unexplored.
Future challenges?

The potential role for positive deviance is vast. For example, which rural Kenyan families optimally use insecticide impregnated bednets, and how can they motivate their neighbours? How can South African policy makers integrate the behaviours and thinking of teenagers who practise “safe sex”? What can we learn from a poor, uninsured Latina mother who succeeds in properly managing her child’s diabetes or asthma? What about other intractable, deadly impasses of our time—the Kashmir crisis, Israeli-Palestinian mayhem, or insurgency in Iraq? We believe that positive deviance is a valuable tool that should be part of international health policy makers’ toolbox for the 21st century.
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Kangaroo Mother Care, an example to follow from developing countries

Juan Gabriel Ruiz-Peláez, Nathalie Charpak, Luis Gabriel Cuervo

Caring for low birthweight infants imposes a heavy burden on poor countries. An effective healthcare technique developed in 1978 may offer a solution to this problem and additionally be of use in wealthy countries too

Introduction

Each year about 20 million infants of low birth weight are born worldwide, which imposes a heavy burden on healthcare and social systems in developing countries.¹ ² ³ Medical care of low birthweight infants is complex, demands an expensive infrastructure and highly skilled staff, and is often a very disruptive experience for families.² ³ ⁴ Premature babies in poorly resourced settings often end up in understaffed and ill equipped neonatal care units, that may be turned into potentially deadly traps by a range of factors colluding—for example, malfunctioning incubators, broken monitors, overcrowding, nosocomial infections, etc.

In 1978 Edgar Rey, a Colombian paediatrician concerned with the problems arising from a shortage of incubators and the impact of separating women from newborns in neonatal care units, developed Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC),¹ a healthcare technique for low birthweight infants that is at least as effective as traditional care in a neonatal care unit.¹ ² ³

What does KMC entail?

In KMC, babies weighing 2000 g or less at birth and unable to regulate their body temperature remain with their mothers as incubators, main source of stimulation, and feeding. Newborns are attached to mothers...